

Project & programme recovery

Stabilise first. Recover with evidence.

When delivery loses credibility, another optimistic plan is not enough. Recovery requires a fact-based assessment of root causes, urgent exposure and whether continuation still makes business sense.

The value we bring

- Delivery: concentrate effort on critical blockers rather than scattered activity.
- Cost control: expose further spend at risk and challenge unsupported estimates.
- Organisation: rebuild confidence through transparent decisions and observable progress.

How we work

- Assess delivery facts, financial exposure, dependencies and stakeholder concerns.
- Stabilise urgent risks and compare recover, reshape, pause or stop options.
- Agree a credible recovery baseline and run short, evidence-led reviews.

Project & programme recovery

What you receive

- Independent recovery assessment with root causes and immediate priorities.
- Options paper covering cost to complete, risks and decision trade-offs.
- An owned recovery plan, revised forecast and sponsor review cadence.

How we measure progress

- Critical recovery actions completed by the agreed dates.
- Forecast cost to complete and variance from the recovery baseline.
- Age of critical blockers and reliability of recovery milestones.

Agree the baseline, target, owner and review cadence at the start. Measures are selected to fit the engagement.

Explore the illustrated engagement

A delayed CRM rollout is losing credibility.

Page 3 shows the situation, our entry point, the client decisions and the intended value. Illustrative scenario, not a client case study.

A practical starting point

Start with access to delivery plans, financial forecasts, risks and key stakeholders.
Agree the assessment scope and escalation route.

Recovery does not always mean continuing. Recommendations may include pausing or stopping work; no recovery or savings outcome is guaranteed.

Scope, availability, fees and expected outputs are agreed before engagement. Outcomes depend on context, adoption and decisions.

Discuss your situation: info@flockshift.com | flockshift.com/contact

ILLUSTRATIVE ENGAGEMENT / NOT A CLIENT CASE STUDY

A delayed CRM rollout is losing credibility.

The vision: Re-establish a viable delivery commitment, or stop further exposure when continuation is not justified.

The situation: The CRM release has slipped repeatedly. Remaining effort is uncertain, defects compete with new scope, and sponsors no longer trust the status report.

Where FlockShift steps in: The sponsor requests an independent recovery assessment and an owned stabilisation plan before approving more spend.



JOURNEY	FLOCKSHIFT INTERVENES	THE CLIENT OWNS	TANGIBLE OUTPUT
01 / Establish the facts	Test scope, progress, estimates and root causes.	Provide access and agree the recovery mandate.	An evidence-based exposure assessment.
02 / Contain the damage	Prioritise critical blockers and control new commitments.	Approve urgent stabilisation decisions.	A short, owned stabilisation backlog.
03 / Choose a route	Compare recover, reduce scope, pause or stop.	Select the route and approve its baseline.	A costed options and decision pack.
04 / Restore control	Track recovery actions and forecast changes.	Resolve escalations and accept handback criteria.	A recovery dashboard and handover plan.

What better looks like: Further spending is linked to a deliberate decision. Teams focus on the blockers that determine viability. Sponsor confidence can be rebuilt through evidence.

What we would measure: Cost to complete against the recovery baseline. Age and closure of critical blockers. Reliability of agreed recovery milestones.

Fictional scenario, not achieved results. Scope, measures and responsibilities are agreed with the client. Outcomes are not guaranteed.